Concept minutes of the *Plenaire vergadering* of the CSR on 20 May 2020

Present
Pjotr van der Jagt, Alicja Kępka, Hahae Son, Maya Moreno, Tara Olsen, Ömür Güven Kirli, Daniëlle de Nobel and Anna de Koning,

Absent
Luca Babovic, Artem Gryshchenko, Saachi Samani, June Ouwehand, Maria Lisova and Pelle Padmos,

Guest(s)
Natasja van der Woude (11:30 – UvA COVID-19 Fund)

Minutes
Olav Abbring, *Ambtelijk Secretaris*

**Concept agenda**

1. Opening
2. Mail
3. Adapting the concept minutes
4. Checking the action list
5. Announcements
6. Updates DB & taskforces, delegates, central student assessor
7. Setting the agenda
8. OV recap
9. Decentral selection
10. Gender-neutral bathrooms
11. Election update
12. W.v.t.t.k. / Any other business
13. Input requests: FSR’s / Media
14. Evaluating the PV
15. Questions + closing the meeting

1. Opening

*Pjotr opens the meeting at 11:18h and welcomes the meeting participants.*

2. Mail

*No comments on the mail*

3. Adapting concept minutes

The concept minutes of the 13th of May 2020 have been set without changes.

4. Checking the action list

*The action list gets updated.*

*Done in attachment*

5. Announcements

*The absentees and people leaving early are listed.*

The WHW-meeting with the RvT and CvB will be today at 14:30.

At 11:30 Natasja van der Woude will join the meeting to talk about the UvA fund.

*Mandates*

June mandates Anna.

9 votes so a quorum is met.
6. **Updates DB & taskforces, delegates, central student assessor**

*No questions on the updates.*

7. **Setting the agenda**

*The agenda is set without changes.*

8. **UvA COVID-19 Fund**

* A presentation is given on the UvA COVID-19 Fund

9. **OV recap**

Pjotr states that he thinks the OV went good, it was well prepared. He states that the current question is what the next steps will be on the subject of proctoring. Hahae agrees that the OV went good, she thanks everyone who put in time to prepare the OV and agrees that the next step on proctoring is important, stating that the conversations that are currently being held are good, but that the final result will depend on what choice the CSR makes on this subject. Alicja agrees and also thanks the speakers and the people who helped preparing the OV.

10. **Decentral selection**

There are no questions on the meeting piece. The voting is done.

Hahae states that in on the first point it seems like the AMC already gives the material three weeks in advance.

**Voting proposal:** The CSR 19-20 votes on adding recommendations; 1) sending materials at least 3 weeks prior to the date to the final document.

In favour: 8
Against: 0
Blanco: 0
Abstain: 1

*The council is in favour of adding recommendations; 1) sending materials at least 3 weeks prior to the date to the final document.* *(Decision)*

**Voting proposal:** The CSR 19-20 votes on adding recommendation 2) adding a recommended amount of time for self-studying for AMC to the final document.

In favour: 8
Against: 0
Blanco: 0
Abstain: 1

*The council is in favour of adding recommendation 2) adding a recommended amount of time for self-studying for AMC to the final document.* *(Decision)*

**Voting proposal:** The CSR 19-20 votes on adding recommendation 3) planning an extensive evaluation of the selection procedures to the final document.

In favour: 9
Against: 0
Blanco: 0

*Pagina 2 – 5*
The council is in favour of adding recommendation 3) planning an extensive evaluation of the selection procedures to the final document. (Decision)

Voting proposal: The CSR 19-20 votes on sending a positive advice on the Decentral Selection regulations with the following recommendations; 1) sending materials at least 3 weeks prior to the date, 2) adding a recommended amount of time for self-studying for AMC, and 3) planning an extensive evaluation of the selection procedures.

In favour: 7
Against: 0
Blanco: 2
Abstain: 0

The council is in favour of sending a positive advice on the Decentral Selection regulations with the following recommendations; 1) sending materials at least 3 weeks prior to the date, 2) adding a recommended amount of time for self-studying for AMC, and 3) planning an extensive evaluation of the selection procedures. (Decision)

11. Gender-neutral bathrooms

The amount & layout

Pjotr states that he prefers stalls with both urinals and bowls, and have it stated on the door which one it is. Maya states that all bowls would be possible by changing the women’s bathrooms to gender neutral. Pjotr states that this might not be possible because there need to be a minimum amount of bathrooms per building. Anna asks how many toilets it will be. Maya states that it will first be looked at per building, UvA already has policy that there needs to be one gender-neutral bathroom per building. Hahae states that it might be good to have one gender-neutral bathroom per floor. Tara states that she thinks one per building is best, because one per floor would mean all bathrooms would be gender-neutral and this would be more of an end-goal. Anna adds that in the REC building not every floor even has a bathroom and states that she thinks it is important that every person has the choice to go to the bathroom that they want.

The definition

Maya explains that facility services sometimes include the ‘handicapped’ toilets as gender-neutral. Maya asks if this is also how the CSR interprets it. Ömür states that gender-neutral people do not need the assistance that is offered in a bathroom meant for people with a handicap and he adds that if more gender-neutral people will be using those bathrooms that it will limit the usage for people who need it because of their functional limitation. Pjotr states that the bathrooms designed for people with a handicap aren’t in the scope of the CSR’s definition. Pjotr asks if O&F can brainstorm on the entails of the proposed idea. Tara asks if the CSR can get the blueprints of the buildings to see what the current layout is.

The sign

Anna states that she thinks that it is important that the people who use it know what bathroom it will be that they are entering. Tara and Pjotr agree on this. Hahae states that if that is a requirement than for instance the one with half-pants half-skirt can be used (without the ‘we don’t care’ text’). It is concluded that the most important aspect of the sign is that the text is clear so there can be no confusion of what bathroom it is.

Conclusion

It would be best to discuss this subject one more time if there is information on the numbers and then send in the advice. This can be done during the next O&F committee or if the next MP is elaborate enough and all options can be discussed than it can also immediately be discussed during the next PV.
12. Election update

Voting compass/Kieswijzer
- Almost completely done

Elections Kahoot (online gameshow)
- **When:** May 29, 1:30 pm CEST
- **Promotion**
  - UvA official Facebook has added it to their events calendar, BC will promote further.
  - Potentially boost event using Facebook

UvAradio Debates
- **Moderators**
  - Seven debates, CSR and one for every FSR excluding ACTA (1 party)
  - Each debate will be 45 minutes long, CSR debate will be 1.5 hours but split into two recordings to post on UvAradio channels
    - May need to be adjusted for some FSRs due to # of parties running
    - CSR: 5 parties
    - FMG: 4 parties
    - FdR: 4 parties
  - Felix is meeting with RfD today and will ask if they are interested
- **Debate topic/questions**
  - UvAradio will poll students on their priority topics and make debate questions/topics
  - They will get these reviewed by current CSR and FSR members
  - Use 30 kieswijzer statements as a base for debate questions/topics
    - [https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/19d3Qpxtl7udskYSRM3dcZyi_wJzU4j8UC2-fluHkiE/edit?usp=sharing](https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/19d3Qpxtl7udskYSRM3dcZyi_wJzU4j8UC2-fluHkiE/edit?usp=sharing)
- **UvAradio takeover on UvA Instagram**
  - One takeover definitely possible

13. W.V.T.T.K. / Any other business

Daniëlle asks about the subject ‘profiling fund’ that is being discussed during the DB meetings. Pjotr explains that this is the result of the lack of presence during meetings at the CSR this year. It is being discussed during the DB meetings what is possible. Pjotr states that for this year giving back money cannot be enforced because there are no regulations for it, so he explains that regulations for next year are being discussed and for this year an offer for an agreement is being thought of.

14. Input requests for the FSR's / to the media

*No input requests.*

15. Evaluating the PV

*No comments on the evaluation.*

16. Questions + closing the meeting

*No questions*

13:03 Pjotr closes the meeting.

**Decisions**

200520-01 The CSR 19-20 decides in favour of sending a positive advice on the Decentral Selection regulations with the following recommendations; 1) sending materials at least 3 weeks prior to the date, 2) adding a recommended amount of time for self-studying for AMC, and 3) planning an extensive evaluation of the selection procedures.
**Action list**

200513-01 Artem will inform with his FSR what their opinion is on advice 1 and Daniëlle will inform with her FSR what their opinion is on advice 2, knowing that the recommended amount of time for self-studying is also posted on the canvas.

200506-01 Maya will include the arguments and pictures/logos of this week’s PV into next week’s Meeting Piece on the gender-neutral bathrooms.

200422-02 Pjotr and Alicja will inform with the CvB who are in the central crisis team.

200311-01 Saachi, Luca, Pelle and June fill in the overview, sent by Pjotr via e-mail as a googledoc file, with files being handled by the CSR.

191205-02 Olav inquires which rights the decentral councils have with regard to setting the (faculty) budget within Dutch universities. Three answers are in: Erasmus, Tilburg & Nijmegen.

**Pro memorie list PV CSR**

140908-04 The DB keeps an eye on late meeting pieces and is strict about nazendingen and being present in time.

140908-05 A double check on the spelling and grammar should be done for all communication. Committee chairs have the final responsibility in this.

141208-04 The committee chairs notify the PR-committee after their meetings which files, that the CSR is working on, should be raised in the media.

150420-01 All DB-members send their updates before Sunday 20.00h. Delegates send their updates before Wednesday 09.00h.

160502-01 The DB takes good care of the plants.

161017-04 The committee chairs make sure that everyone gives proper feedback in their committees about the work, steering and soundboard groups, and they make sure the documents are saved on the P-drive. Council members archive all their documents in the P-drive.

161017-05 The committee chairs oversee the diverse division of speakers for the OV.

170201-04 The DB oversees a proper balance between small and large files in the PV.

171108-04 The delegates check whether the agendas, minutes and letters of the FSR’s are being published online.

180207-01 Council members try to take care of expressing their opinions and give arguments for their standpoints.

181203-01 The committee chairs keep their OV-planning up to date and fill their OV-planning in the calendar in Trello.

190213-11 Pjotr and Anna prepare the files that will be discussed in the UCO in advance with the CSR PV.

190904-01 The DB protects the diversity of the council and supports a just and coherent working environment.

190904-02 The council concerns sustainability in their committees and its plans.