Concept minutes of the *Plenaire vergadering* of the CSR on 6 May 2020

**Present**
- Pjotr van der Jagt, Alicja Kępka, Hahae Son, Maya Moreno, Tara Olsen, Ömür Güven Kirli, Luca Babovic, Daniëlle de Nobel and Anna de Koning;

**Absent**
- Artem Gryshchenko, Saachi Samani, June Ouwehand, Maria Lisova and Pelle Padmos;

**Guest(s)**
- Olav Abbring *Ambtelijk Secretaris*

### Concept agenda
1. **Opening**
2. **Mail**
3. **Adapting the concept minutes**
4. **Checking the action list**
5. **Announcements**
6. **Updates DB & taskforces, delegates, central student assessor**
7. **Setting the agenda**
8. **Instellingscollegegelden**
9. **Decentral selections**
10. **Gender neutral bathrooms**
11. **Election update**
12. **W.v.t.t.k. / Any other business**
13. **Input requests: FSR’s / Media**
14. **Evaluating the PV**
15. **Questions + closing the meeting**

#### 1. Opening
Pjotr opens the meeting at 11:18h and welcomes the meeting participants.

#### 2. Mail
*No comments on the mail*

#### 3. Adapting concept minuets
The concept minutes of the 29th of April of 2020 have been set with an adding under agenda point 9. Instellingsplan, under 2) *Space for students in IP*, reading:

'A positive remark is added to the CSR's reaction on Par.1.5 *implementation (1).*'

#### 4. Checking the action list
*The action list gets updated and the pro memory is read.*
*Done in attachment*

#### 5. Announcements
*The absentees and people leaving early are listed.*

This Friday 10 – 12 AM there is a GV. Please be present because a voting will be held.
Pjotr announces that the CvB has decided that the UvA will be using proctoring at the UvA. A written response with more details on the changes will be sent shortly.

**Mandates**
Artem mandates Maya.
8 votes so a quorum is met.

**6. Updates DB & taskforces, delegates, central student assessor**
No questions on the updates.

**7. Setting the agenda**
The agenda is set without changes.

-Maya joins the meeting-

**8. Instellingscollegegelden**

**Recommendation 1**
Pjotr briefly explains the rekenvoorbeeld 2 in the MP.
Pjotr explains how the ‘cleaning’ of the government grant is done. He states that the cost per student exist via a calculation, in that calculation numbers from the government are used which also include costs used for administration, in the ‘cleaning’ these costs are excluded. Pjotr states that in the ‘cleaning’ of the funds the last time around €700 was ‘cleaned’.

**Recommendation 2**
Pjotr explains that the amount of costs that is added by adding the quality funds to the calculation is around €200 yearly. Pjotr states that once the CSR decided that they are against the adding of the QF to the calculation of the ICG, the ICG committee discussed it and eventually chose advice to add it to the calculations.
Hahae argues that at this moment no measurable impact exists with the spending of the QF, thus adding this money to the cost of education isn’t fair. To support this the findings in the upcoming midterm review can be used as an argument to revalue the choice to add the QF to the calculation.
It is added that if the QF doesn’t have a measurable impact on the quality of education than it won’t only be a disadvantage to international students, but also to Dutch students.

**Recommendation 5**
Hahae states that the link to the different possibilities of partly funding is mostly linked to the profilingfund.
Pjotr explains that it was argued that it is usually normal that when a student fails a course that the student re-enrols, only if there are special reasons why a student failed a course the profilingfund can be used. Pjotr states that he has informed on the use of flex studying, but this option is currently in a pilot and not, at this moment meant to be used as a way to facilitate redoing a course. Hahae states that the option to apply for the waiver adds to the options a student will have to only apply for a single course this makes education more accessible. Due to the way the waiver would work, a non-European student whom applies for a waiver could
stay in the Netherlands for a full study year, while only paying a minimal tuition fee. For this reason the waiver was also not advised. This period cannot be shortened. With this information there are no further questions on this recommendation.

Because there are some question marks on the adding of the QF to the calculation as recommended in recommendation 2, the suggestion is to send in a positive advice, but to add the argument that after the midterm review the impact of QF will be reviewed and depending on that rethink the adding of the QF to the calculation.

**Voting proposal:** The CSR 19-20 votes on sending a positive advice on the new ICG, but adds a comment on recommendation two linking to the mid-term review on the Quality funds.

In favour: 9
Against: 0
Blanco: 1
Abstain: 0

*The council is in favour of giving a positive advice on the request for advice on the instellingscollegegelden, but adds a comment on recommendation two linking to the mid-term review on the Quality Agreement. (Decision)*

9. **Decentral selection**

1. All materials should be given three weeks in advance. Danielle states that at FdG the study material is given ten days in advance, so companies don’t have time to organise trainings. Hahae states that in the committee meeting last week an argument was given that some people might be busy working during the week and only have a limited time to study, so a longer time period was proposed, but the argument Daniëlle makes shows a flip side. It is added that it should be asked why two weeks was chosen in the send proposition. The program committees can also be informed on this discussion, this will be done by the committee. Daniëlle will ask her FSR about their reasons to choose the period of ten days.

2. AMC Medicine put in a recommended amount of time for self-studying
The wording should be checked

3. A note on whether motivation is the best tested through 25 hours of self-studying and taking an exam
Daniëlle states that the decentral selection will be set for next year’s selection. She supports the choice to send in an advice to evaluate the way the decentral selection is held, stating that at her faculty this has been mandatory for years, but every year the argument is being used that there is an insufficient amount of time between the selection and the showing of its effects. This advice will be incorporated in the piece next week.

10. **Gender neutral bathrooms**

*Should we aim to have a gender-neutral bathroom at every campus? In every building? Per a certain number of students?*

Pjotr states that at least every building should have a gender-neutral bathroom and adds that instead of a gender-neutral bathroom per amount of students, maybe a goal percentage of gender-neutral bathrooms should be formulated.
Should the gender-neutral bathrooms also be in the University Quarter and Singel library?
Tara and Pjotr say yes. University quarter could be left out, because there are already gender-neutral
bathrooms planned there and the UB Singel can be added to the ‘every building’ qualification.

Design/Layout Discussion: Should the bathrooms be all stalls? Should they have stalls and urinals? Which sign
should be used for the bathrooms?
Stalls are preferred as they are more private. As for the sign, different options are discussed. Ömür states that
maybe a campaign can be started promoting the use and thereby promoting and making the sign more clear.
Maya will include the arguments and pictures/logos of this week’s PV into next week’s Meeting Piece [action].

11. Election update
Hahae gives an update: The campaign funding were discussed. The AS of the CSR will coordinate the
finances, the kostenplaats of the CSR will be used. Three e-mails will be send. One today, to the FSRs. On May
14th an e-mail will be send to the FSRs and the parties who are participating, and one on June 19th.
The money is divided in two ways: one is on a fixed base divided by the amount of parties participating on a
certain level, and one more fluctuant part depending on the amount of achieved seats.

On Monday a meeting on the voting compass was held. When all answers on the questions of the voting
compass are in the PR committee will check if any more questions can be removed before posting it. The
voting compass will be published on May 19th. Alicja will contact the person who made the voting compass
last year to send the answers of that year.

Suzan is working on the online magazine.
The promotion cards are in the works. The graphic designer will make the cards. The achievements of the
individual members are almost complete. The theme song is also in the works, Hahae writes the lyrics and
there is a link to a previous song of the possible creator who might be making the song.

12. W.V.T.T.K. / Any other business
No WVTTK.

13. Input requests for the FSR’s / to the media
No input requests for the FSR’s / to the media.

14. Evaluating the PV
A brief discussion, always takes longer than is planned, in a good meeting.

15. Questions + closing the meeting
No questions
13:18 Pjotr closes the meeting.

Decisions
200506-01 The CSR 19-20 decides in favour of giving a positive advice on the request for advice on the
instellingscollegegelden, but adds a comment on recommendation two linking to the mid-
term review on the Quality Agreement.
**Action list**

200506-01  Maya will include the arguments and pictures/logos of this week’s PV into next week’s Meeting Piece on the gender neutral bathrooms.

200429-01  Pjotr will ask the CvB when the deadline for the application for expansion of flex studying closes.

200429-02  Pjotr will send more information about what scholarships there are at the UvA.

200429-03  Pjotr will write a summary on the recommendations 1, 2 and 5 of the committee in English for a discussion next week.

200422-01  Pjotr writes a draft version of the CSR’s corona advice to the CvB and sends that to the CSR today, there will be a possibility to give input on it until tonight, O&O will discuss the draft and the input tomorrow and afterwards an e-mail vote will be held on the final advice.

200422-02  Pjotr and Alicja will inform with the CvB who are in the central crisis team.

200311-01  Saachi, Luca, Pelle and June fill in the overview, sent by Pjotr via e-mail as a googledoc file, with files being handled by the CSR.

191205-02  Pjotr inquires which rights the decentral councils have with regard to setting the (faculty) budget within Dutch universities. Three answers are in: Erasmus, Tilburg & Nijmegen.

191205-01  The CSR discusses the model OER with the FSRs, Pjotr will send mail to other FSR’s.

**Pro memorie list PV CSR**

140908-04  The DB keeps an eye on late meeting pieces and is strict about nazendingen and being present in time.

140908-05  A double check on the spelling and grammar should be done for all communication. Committee chairs have the final responsibility in this.

141208-04  The committee chairs notify the PR-committee after their meetings which files, that the CSR is working on, should be raised in the media.

150420-01  All DB-members send their updates before Sunday 20.00h. Delegates send their updates before Wednesday 09.00h.

160502-01  The DB takes good care of the plants.

161017-04  The committee chairs make sure that everyone gives proper feedback in their committees about the work, steering and soundboard groups, and they make sure the documents are saved on the P-drive. Council members archive all their documents in the P-drive.

161017-05  The committee chairs oversee the diverse division of speakers for the OV.

170201-04  The DB oversees a proper balance between small and large files in the PV.

171108-04  The delegates check whether the agendas, minutes and letters of the FSR’s are being published online.

180207-01  Council members try to take care of expressing their opinions and give arguments for their standpoints.

181203-01  The committee chairs keep their OV-planning up to date and fill their OV-planning in the calendar in Trello.

190213-11  Pjotr and Anna prepare the files that will be discussed in the UCO in advance with the CSR PV.

190904-01  The DB protects the diversity of the council and supports a just and coherent working environment.

190904-02  The council concerns sustainability in their committees and its plans.