Concept minutes of the *Plenaire vergadering* of the CSR on 25 March 2020

**Present**
- Pjotr van der Jagt, Alicja Kępka, Hahae Son, Artem Gryshchenko, Saachi Samani, Pelle Padmos, Ömür Güven Kirli, Daniëlle de Nobel, Anna de Koning, and Maya Moreno;
- Mariia Lisova, Roos Creyghton, Luca Babovic, and June Ouwehand;

**Guest(s)**
- Tara Olsen (Chair FSR FdR/Temporary replacement for Roos Creyghton);

**Minutes**
- Olav Abbring, *Ambtelijk Secretaris*

**Concept agenda**

1. **Opening**
   Pjotr opens the meeting at 11:15h and welcomes the meeting participants.

2. **Mail**
   *No comments on the mail*

3. **Adapting concept minutes**
   The concept minutes of the 18th of March of 2020 have been set without changes.

4. **Checking the action list**
   *The action list gets updated.*
   *Done in attachment*

5. **Announcements**
   *The absentees and people leaving early are listed.*
   **Mandates:**
   June mandates Anna.
   9 votes so a quorum is met.
6. Updates DB & taskforces, delegates, central student assessor
No questions on the updates

7. Setting the agenda
The agenda is set without changes.

- Artem enters the meeting -
- Maya enters the meeting -

8. Profiling fund
It is stated that the tuition free board year will come on top of the money a board member receives.
It is stated that it is not clear yet if students who do get the benefit of a tuition free board year are still able to study and what the possibilities are to prevent a person the neglect their function at the board. It is suggested that, in the future it might be looked into that students who fully commit to a board year are exemped for tuition fee, but students who do still study during their board year should pay a part of their tuition fee.
This discussion will be held in the working group. At this moment a vote will be cast on the pro/con list stated in the meeting piece. In the future a discussion can be held within the CSR on the subject of a tuition free board year.

**Voting proposal:** The CSR 19-20 votes on bringing the pro’s/con’s stated in the meeting piece to the working group on the profiling fund.

In favour: 8
Against: 0
Blanco: 1
Abstain: 2

The council is in favour of bringing the pro’s/con’s stated in the meeting piece to the working group on the profiling fund. *(Decision)*

A second vote is cast on only wanting the tuition free board year if the current compensation the members get stays in place.

**Voting proposal:** The CSR 19-20 votes on only wanting a tuition free board year if the current form of compensation the members get stays in place.

In favour: 10
Against: 0
Blanco: 0
Abstain: 1

The council is in favour of only wanting the tuition free board year if the current form of compensation the members get stays in place. *(Decision)*

9. EPICUR
The categories stated in the MP are added to make sure the questions that will be asked are not to specific.
It is stated that at this moment it is not entirely clear how this project will be handled and this can be asked in a separate category ‘clarifying questions’. This category will be added.

10. Fair-play agreement
On the subject of Pre-election: the election in general should be promoted and not just the party that someone is campaigning for. This is added, because some student associations also have a party representing them.
The pre-election period can be changed to, until a certain moment (a week) in advance of the starting of the possibility to vote. A con is stated that if this pre-election rule, that it is not allowed to promote parties or members, is added it will create a distance between the voters and the parties/individuals running for them.

On the subject of mediums where promotion can be done: This will be discussed in the PR committee next week.

On the subject of devices where votes can be cast: It is argued that when using party members own devices students will be more likely to just let the person representing the party fill in the student's student ID and let the party vote on themselves, as was done last year. It is also stated that last the DVS was asked to, if possible, use their own devices, because there weren't enough and so other parties could use them. A solution could be a phone friendly voting platform that is easily accessible.

On the new part to add, on the subject of presentations: it is commented that the obligation to add the CSR's slide is a bit restrictive. The content of the CSR's slide will be: the parties taking part in the central election and the faculties election and the election date. It is stated that if during the pre-election all parties should be promoted, and after that the parties can promote only themselves than this rule should apply to pre-election only. It is also suggested that an independent group of people can promote the elections during lectures. It should be kept in mind that the possibility for a single person to prove their worth should still be possible. For next week two possibilities will be put forward as to determine the freedom of promotion during lectures.

On the subject of sanctions: if the infringement is online, than a central member, who is appointed (possibly the chair of the CSR), can be contacted. Removal of a stand is suggested. Deactivation of certain social media platform is suggested. It is also suggested that money can be withheld. It is also suggested that sanctions shouldn’t be added at all, but a list of infringements can be kept.

On study associations and parties: it is stated that this rule shouldn’t be added, because it is up to the parties/associations what they do with the e-mail addresses they possess. It is also argued that if this is not added than fair-play won’t be created. It is also stated that if this rule is not included money that is distributed to the associations, but not mend for elections will be used for elections.

O&F will look into the party structures and the signing of the Fair-play agreement on the discussion of decentralized and central elections and the applicability of this agreement.

11. Election update

On the 24th of March a group is made with different FSRs, party representatives and the DB of the CSR. This group discusses the possibilities for the 2020 medezeggenschap elections. By the end of the day the responses from the parties and FSRs will be put in a document and this will be send to the crisis team.

12. Corona update

Most likely, physical classes will be cancelled until the 1th of June. There is a discussing going on the holding of exams. There will be a press-release from the VSNU soon on the answering of these questions.

It is put forward that if physical meetings are cancelled it should be discussed how the CSR will operate in the next months, when the active files are concluded, and no new files can be started up. When the active files are done, a choice can be made to have less meetings and only meet when there is input asked. It is also suggested that weekly meetings can still be held, but to keep them short. This subject will be discussed further in the DB [action].
At this moment the Chair has weekly contact with Karen and the CvB is trying to have the CSR be involved in the coming decisions. OV’s with the CvB will be held online, and to facilitate this the CSR provides the CvB with questions in advance to have the meetings go more smoothly.

13. W.V.T.T.K. / Any other business
No subjects added to the WVTTK.

14. Input requests for the FSR’s / to the media
No input requests.

15. Evaluating the PV
It is suggested that, if meetings aren’t filled with items those meetings should still be held efficiently.

16. Questions + closing the meeting
12:50 Pjotr closes the meeting.

Decisions
200325-01 The CSR 19-20 decides in favour of bringing the pro’s/con’s stated in the meeting piece to the working group on the profiling fund.
200325-02 The CSR 19-20 decides in favour of only wanting the tuition free board year if the current form of compensation the members get stays in place.

Action list
200325-01 The DB discusses the future of CSR meetings during the prohibition of physical meetings.
200318-01 The PR committee discusses how to continue with the website update.
200318-02 Hahae will update the FSRs that the process of updating the website is delayed.
200318-03 CSR members can send in questions to Hahae on the specifics of the profiling fund so Hahae can pass them on to the working group.
200318-04 CSR members add pro’s and con’s on the profiling fund to the googledoc.
200318-05 CSR members can add suggestions on the Election Etiquette in the googledoc.
200311-01 Fill in the overview, send by Pjotr via e-mail as a googledoc file, with files being handled by the CSR before Monday 16-3-2020. Reminder will be send
200311-02 Pjotr looks into the action point that was taken off during the OV by the CvB and checks if it will be fulfilled.
200226-01 The representatives of the FSRs in contact with the FSRs on the subject of the CSR visiting FSRs and report to Alicia on the preferences of the FSRs: AMC, rather in the evening or during PV; ACTA; and FdR.
191205-02 Pjotr inquires which rights the decentral councils have with regard to setting the (faculty) budget within Dutch universities. Three answers are in: Erasmus, Tilburg & Nijmegen.
191205-01 The CSR discusses the model OER with the FSRs, Pjotr will send mail to other FSR’s.
191128-07 O&O discusses whether someone will gather information from other universities about their exams regulations and master entry requirements, in order to make a comparison with the UvA’s policy.

Pro memorie list PV CSR
140908-04 The DB keeps an eye on late meeting pieces and is strict about nazendingen and being present in time.
140908-05 A double check on the spelling and grammar should be done for all communication. Committee chairs have the final responsibility in this.
The committee chairs notify the PR-committee after their meetings which files, that the CSR is working on, should be raised in the media.

All DB-members send their updates before Sunday 20:00h. Delegates send their updates before Wednesday 09:00h.

The DB takes good care of the plants.

The committee chairs make sure that everyone gives proper feedback in their committees about the work, steering and soundboard groups, and they make sure the documents are saved on the P-drive. Council members archive all their documents in the P-drive.

The committee chairs oversee the diverse division of speakers for the OV.

The DB oversees a proper balance between small and large files in the PV.

The delegates check whether the agendas, minutes and letters of the FSR’s are being published online.

Council members try to take care of expressing their opinions and give arguments for their standpoints.

The committee chairs keep their OV-planning up to date and fill their OV-planning in the calendar in Trello.

Pjotr and Anna prepare the files that will be discussed in the UCO in advance with the CSR PV.

The DB protects the diversity of the council and supports a just and coherent working environment.

The council concerns sustainability in their committees and its plans.