Concept minutes of the *Plenaire vergadering* of the CSR on 18 March 2020

**Present**
- Pjotr van der Jagt
- Alicja Kępka
- Hahae Son
- Artem Gryshchenko
- Saachi Samani
- Mariia Lisova
- Pelle Padmos
- Ömür Güven Kirli
- Daniëlle de Nobel
- Anna de Koning
- Maya Moreno

**Absent**
- Roos Creyghton
- Luca Babovic
- June Ouwehand

**Guest(s)**
- Tara Olsen (Chair FSR FdR/Temporary replacement for Roos Creyghton)

**Minutes**
- Olav Abbring (Ambtelijk Secretaris)

---

**Concept agenda**

1. **Opening**
   
   *Pjotr opens the meeting at 11:15h and welcomes the meeting participants.*

2. **Mail**
   
   *No comments on the mail*

3. **Adapting concept minutes**
   
   The concept minutes of the 26th of February of 2020 have been set without changes.
   
   The concept minutes of the 11th of March of 2020 have been set without changes.

4. **Checking the action list**
   
   *The action list gets updated.*
   
   *Done in attachment*

5. **Announcements**
   
   *The absentees and people leaving early are listed.*
   
   Mandates:
   
   Anna mandates Daniëlle.
11 votes so a quorum is met.

6. Updates DB & taskforces, delegates, central student assessor
   No questions on the updates

7. Setting the agenda
   The Meeting Piece Profilingfund has been send in late, Daniëlle states that she hasn’t had time to prepare this document with her FSR and asks if it is possible to postpone. Hahae states that there was plenty of time to discuss this item, she adds that the meeting on Friday on profilingfund has been cancelled so the deciding part can be taken off, but the piece itself can stay as informing and discussing.
   The agenda is further set without changes.

8. Website update
   The website creator isn’t responding. The PR committee will discuss how to continue with the website update [action]. Next week there will be a MP on this subject. Hahae will update the FSRs that the process of updating the website is delayed [action].

9. RvA Appointment
   There are no questions on this subject.
   Voting proposal: The CSR 19-20 votes on appointing former CSR members for the provided list for the Raad van Advies.
   - In favour: 9
   - Against: 0
   - Blanco: 1
   - Abstain: 0
   The council is in favour of appointing the former CSR members, stated in the meeting piece for the provided list for the Raad van Advies. (Decision)

10. Instellingscollegegelden
    The situation is that the Dutch students used to get money from the government, that stopped, and that money now is used as quality funds for the universities. If international students should contribute to the quality fund than the instellingscollegegeld should be increased. The new instellingscollegegeld will be increased by, an estimated €800 – €1200.
    This subject came up because the method of calculation is being changed, because it isn’t sufficient anymore. During last meeting with the ICG working group this question came up and Pjotr chose to bring it to the CSR. Most other universities chose to leave the quality funds out of their calculations. This change would affect both international students from Europe and outside of Europe.
    Instellingscollegegelden is the money that international students pay and students that do a second bachelor or master (after they completed their first bachelor or master). This wasn’t made clear in the meeting piece. It is stated that by not adding this to the meeting piece only international students are not contributing to the quality funds, which is wrong, because Dutch students who do a second bachelor or master also do not contribute to the quality funds when they pay instellingscollegegeld.
    A general remark is that these calculations are redone every three years. It needs to be checked that someone who is now paying a certain amount is not surprised the next year by an increase due to the proposed change.
It will be stressed during the working group meeting that, no matter what to the outcome is that the working group will look into the changes for current students who pay instellingscollegegeld.

Hahae adds a con, stating that international students never received funds from the government like Dutch students used to do. It is stated that the tax payer used to pay for tuition, now that is payed by the Dutch students. By increasing the instellingscollegegeld both Dutch and international students pay for the quality fund.

A neutral point needs to be added, that other universities are not adding quality funds to the instellingscollegegeld.

At the beginning of the year research was shared that international students from the EU bring in 20,000 and international students outside the EU 90,000. It is added that maybe the way of dividing the quality funds should be evaluated.

- Anna joins the meeting –

The outcome of the vote will be brought to the working group and the discussion will be further held there.

**Voting proposal:** The CSR 19-20 votes on taking the stance that when calculating the instellingscollegegeld the quality funds need to be including the quality funds to the calculation of the tuition fees.

In favour: 4
Against: 6
Blanco: 0
Abstain: 1

*The council is against taking the stance that when calculating the instellingscollegegeld the quality funds should be included to the calculation. (Decision)*

**11. CSR-FSR dinner**

It is stated that having the event in May might not be possible. The date will be changed to when the university is opened again. The financial overview is very much appreciated. The vote on the date will be postponed until there is more certainty on public meetings.

**Voting proposal:** The CSR 19-20 votes reallocating the budget for the CSR-FSR dinner.

In favour: 11
Against: 0
Blanco: 0
Abstain: 0

*The council is in favour of reallocating the budget for the CSR-FSR dinner. (Decision)*

**12. Profileringsfonds**

A con has been added to the list, it is provided in the google document link.

It hasn’t been discussed if the students who receive the tuition free year will be able to stay studying. But it is possible to stay studying while receiving the funds.

The profiling fund applies to the board of an association linked to the UvA. This fund is for fulltime board members. The working group hasn’t discussed how the tuition free board year will be divided if a student doesn’t participate fulltime.

The point that some members who are not board members spend time for the organization do not receive a tuition free year, this question will be brought to the working group. It will also be brought to the working
group what happens if someone gets kicked out of the board. If there are any more specific questions Hahae can send these questions in and get them answered. The role as the CSR is to provide a student perspective. In the working group and overview is shared that states what other universities do on this subject. It will be checked how free riding can be avoided.

- Mariia leaves the meeting -

It was discussed in the working group to check on the possibility that it will be calculated how much work a student does during a board year and depending on that gets payed. CSR members can send in questions to Hahae on the specifics of the profiling fund so Hahae can pass them on to the working group [action]. CSR members add pro’s and con’s on the profiling fund to the googledoc [action].

13. Election Etiquette
It is asked for the parties to sign this document, if they sign in they choose to abide to these rules. It is stated that last year there were no consequences to broken rules, how will these guidelines be enforced? One of the things mentioned is that the chair of the CSR can mediate if guidelines are broken. The list of parties is not yet complete, parties that are missing can be added on (if they want to sign is up to them). CSR members can add suggestions on the Election Etiquette in the googledoc [action]. A separate point on study associations using their existing contacts can be added.

14. W.V.T.T.K. / Any other business
The FSR FdR has questions on the elections. After the WVTTK an update will be given by the Chair of the CSR. It is stated that some members found an Instagram post on the CSR Instagram unprofessional. The CvB will have someone look into this year’s elections. When scenarios are finished this will go to the CSR. The issue of handing in lists of parties and people representing the parties will be discussed. If there are any updates the CSR will be updated.
There are rules in the OER on the subject of exams.

15. Input requests for the FSR’s / to the media
No input requests.

16. Evaluating the PV
Nice meeting, for the next PV it needs to be more efficient as the meeting went over time.

17. Questions + closing the meeting
12:50 Pjotr closes the meeting.

Decisions
200318-01 The council is in favour of appointing the former CSR members, stated in the meeting piece for the provided list for the Raad van Advies.
200318-02 The council is against taking the stance that when calculating the tuition fees the quality funds need to be included.
200318-03 The council is in favour of reallocating the budget for the CSR-FSR dinner.

Action list
200318-01 The PR committee discusses how to continue with the website update.
200318-02 Hahae will update the FSRs that the process of updating the website is delayed.
200318-03 CSR members can send in questions to Hahae on the specifics of the profiling fund so Hahae can pass them on to the working group.
CSR members add pro’s and con’s on the profiling fund to the googledoc.

CSR members can add suggestions on the Election Etiquette in the googledoc.

Pjotr looks into the action point that was taken off during the OV by the CvB and checks if it will be fulfilled.

Pjotr sends an update to the FSRs on the existence of decentral crisis teams.

The representatives of the FSRs in contact with the FSRs on the subject of the CSR visiting FSRs and report to Alicja on the preferences of the FSRs: AMC, rather in the evening or during PV; ACTA; and FdR.

The CSR task force IP will formalize the input from the CSR on the instellingsplan (rephrasing and making full sentences), and then sending it to the CvB.

Ömür will get in contact with the CDO to deliver input on the statement Should come in today.

The CSR will send input concerning the website to Saachi.

Alicja sends out datumpicker for the implicit bias workshop. 31th of March 15:00 to 18:00

Alicja puts a QR-code linking to the UB opening time survey in the ‘toiletpaper’ of March.

Pjotr Send information about the decentral councils have with regard to setting the (faculty) budget within Dutch universities. Three answers are in: Erasmus, Tilburg & Nijmegen.

The CSR discusses the model OER with the FSRs, Pjotr will send mail to other FSR’s.

O&O discusses whether someone will gather information from other universities about their exams regulations and master entry requirements, in order to make a comparison with the UvA’s policy.

Pro memorie list PV CSR

The DB keeps an eye on late meeting pieces and is strict about nazendingen and being present in time.

A double check on the spelling and grammar should be done for all communication. Committee chairs have the final responsibility in this.

The committee chairs notify the PR-committee after their meetings which files, that the CSR is working on, should be raised in the media.

All DB-members send their updates before Sunday 20.00h. Delegates send their updates before Wednesday 09.00h.

The DB takes good care of the plants.

The committee chairs make sure that everyone gives proper feedback in their committees about the work, steering and soundboard groups, and they make sure the documents are saved on the P-drive. Council members archive all their documents in the P-drive.

The committee chairs oversee the diverse division of speakers for the OV.

The DB oversees a proper balance between small and large files in the PV.

The delegates check whether the agendas, minutes and letters of the FSR’s are being published online.

Council members try to take care of expressing their opinions and give arguments for their standpoints.

The committee chairs keep their OV-planning up to date and fill their OV-planning in the calendar in Trello.

Pjotr and Anna prepare the files that will be discussed in the UCO in advance with the CSR PV.

The DB protects the diversity of the council and supports a just and coherent working environment.

The council concerns sustainability in their committees and its plans.