Concept minutes of the Plenaire vergadering of the CSR on 19 February 2020

Present
Alicja Kępka, Pjotr van der Jagt, Ömür Güven Kirli, Luca Babovic, Daniëlle de Nobel, Hahae Son, and Maya Moreno;

Absent
Artem Gryshchenko, Saachi Samani, Mariia Lisova, Pelle Padmos, Roos Creyghton, Anna de Koning en June Ouwehand;

Guest(s)
Tara Olsen (Chair FSR FdR/Temporary replacement for Roos Creyghton); Dan (Editor of Folia)

Olav Abbring Ambtelijk Secretaris

Concept agenda
1. Opening
2. Mail
3. Adapting the concept minutes
4. Checking the action list
5. Announcements
6. Updates DB & taskforces, delegates, central student assessor
7. Setting the agenda
8. IPv6
9. Social Safety Slides
10. FSRs visits
11. FSRs dinner
12. Instellingsplan
13. W.v.t.t.k. / Any other business
14. Input requests: FSR’s / Media
15. Evaluating the PV
16. Questions + closing the meeting

1. Opening
Pjotr opens the meeting at 11:15h and welcomes the meeting participants.

2. Mail
No comments on the mail

3. Adapting concept minuets
The concept minutes of the 19th of February of 2020 have been set without changes.

4. Checking the action list
The action list gets updated.
Done in attachment

5. Announcements
The absentees and people leaving early are listed.
The hoodies have been paid and will be shipped to the CSR within 10 days.

Mandates:
Artem mandates Ömür; Saachi mandates Alicja; Mariia mandates Pjotr; Pelle mandates Luca; Anna mandates Daniëlle.

12 votes so a quorum is met.

6. Updates DB & taskforces, delegates, central student assessor
FdR met with the diversity officer that was appointed last month. They talked about the lack of master students in the council and international master students. Also an e-mail was sent to the FdR in response to not getting a reaction from the CSR on a letter concerning the subject of the services/facilities for (pregnant) mothers studying at the UvA. O&O committee will pick up the first point. The AS will send the e-mail on the second subject to Alicja.

- Maya enters -

7. Setting the agenda
The agenda is set without changes, a question was asked to change the instellingsplan to the first point, but it is argued that instellingsplan will take a very long time.

8. IPv6
No questions asked

**Voting proposal:** The CSR 19-20 votes on advising the CvB on the subject of the use of IPv6 within the UvA. In favour: 11
Against: 0
Blanco: 1
Abstain: 0

The council is in favour of sending an advice to the CvB on the subject of IPv6 on the subject of using IPv6 within the UvA. **(Decision)**

9. Social Safety Slides
There was only one person asked to be in the advisory group if Maya can’t attend than Mariia will be backup. All ideas on social safety will be send and discussed with the Social Safety workgroup from now on. Hahae states that she would like to see either the wording in the code of conduct on the subject of prevention of discrimination or on the slide concerning discrimination against origin changed to ‘race or ethnicity. The wording will be discussed in the taskforce. A suggestion was made to make the slides in Dutch as well. If anyone has ideas concerning Social Safety then these ideas can be send to Maya or the social safety workgroup also a googledoc will be opened to put ideas in [action].

10. FSRs visits
Daniëlle states that she hasn’t discussed it with her FSR yet, she will do this and send the response to Alicja. ACTA hasn’t been contacted yet. At FdR there wasn’t much representation from the CSR during the last meeting due to miscommunication, Tara will ask the FdR what they would like to see as a next meeting.

11. FSRs dinner
If this dinner will be done than a part of the budget needs to be shifted around, this needs to be voted on. PR stated that the event can also be done on another day, this will also be voted on. The amount of people can possibly be changed when all the attendees have responded, the amount stated in the MP is an estimate.
12. Instellingsplan

A list with comments and feedback on the instellingsplan will be voted on to send to the CvB. First the five comments and questions without consensus are discussed. The comments that are voted in favour on will be send to the CvB. Daniëlle wonders why the CSR should vote to take a stance as the CSR on this subject if, during the GV the members of the GV will vote on the instellingsplan on a personal title. She states that it would be better if the vote is just on the sending in of the questions and comments. A temperature check is held on the process during this PV: a majority is in favour of discussing and voting on the C&Q without consensus via discussing and immediately voting on it and having the outcome as the vote as the council stance. It is stated that during the meeting, every time there was uncertainty the Dutch version was checked, but the phrasing was always the same.

Questions and Comments without Consensus

Comment one is discussed, the question is how far the points accessibility and equal opportunities will be balanced with the striving for improvement, if at all.

**Voting proposal:** The CSR 19-20 votes on adding comment one to the list of questions asked to the CvB during the next OV on the 3rd of march.

- In favour: 3
- Against: 7
- Blanco: 2
- Abstain: 0

*The council is against adding this comment to the list of questions asked.*

Comment two is discussed, Ömür states that this is clarifying question, it is unclear to the CSR what means in this sentence ‘involvement’, for instance does it mean small scale education or something else, this question came to light when the workgroup thought of the steps that need to be taken to achieve the goal of what is stated in the instellingsplan.

**Voting proposal:** The CSR 19-20 votes on adding comment two to the list of questions asked to the CvB during the next OV on the 3rd of march.

- In favour: 6
- Against: 4
- Blanco: 2
- Abstain: 0

*The proposal has no majority, no decision is made on this subject.*

Comment three is discussed, this comment is about the order of points mentioned in the document, it is stated that having points in order doesn’t mean that there is an emphasis on the first points.

**Voting proposal:** The CSR 19-20 votes on adding comment three to the list of questions asked to the CvB during the next OV on the 3rd of march.

- In favour: 2
- Against: 9
- Blanco: 1
- Abstain: 0

*The council is against adding this comment to the list of questions asked.*
Comment four is discussed, this comment is about the merging of faculties and it possibly leading to more centralization;

**Voting proposal:** The CSR 19-20 votes on adding comment four to the list of questions asked to the CvB during the next OV on the 3rd of march.

- In favour: 1
- Against: 9
- Blanco: 2
- Abstain: 0

*The council is against adding this comment to the list of questions asked.*

Comment five is discussed, most of the deans already take courses from the CDO, with ‘leaders’ more than just the deans are/should be meant, the point will, for the voting, be changed to ‘diversity trainings, such as implicit bias training, mandatory’.

**Voting proposal:** The CSR 19-20 votes on adding comment five to the list of questions asked to the CvB during the next OV on the 3rd of march.

- In favour: 6
- Against: 4
- Blanco: 2
- Abstain: 0

*The proposal has no majority, no decision is made on this subject.*

**Overarching Critiques**

On the overarching theme’s and critiques, these will be send as a list of questions asked by the CSR. The first one; the subject of diversity was a big point of this year’s CSR and also during the maagdenhuis occupation, so a 7th chapter would be a good signal, still the subject of diversity should also be mentioned in the rest of the document, at this moment the decision will be made on the 7th chapter.

**Voting proposal:** The CSR 19-20 votes on bringing the overarching critiques to the CvB during the OV on the 3rd of March.

- In favour: 9
- Against: 0
- Blanco: 3
- Abstain: 0

*The council is in favour of bringing the overarching critiques to the CvB during the OV on the 3rd of March.* *(Decision)*

**On Clarifying Questions**

On the clarifying questions, this list will be send to the CvB and the CSR will be waiting for a written reply, the workgroup will formalize the questions and make full sentences where that is needed [action];

About point 8, it is stated that this point is discussed in the diversity chapter. A vote is cast on removing question 8, question 8 is voted out of the list by a majority;

- Luca and Tara leave 12:53 –

Cont. with question 11, no questions
About section a of question 14, it is argued that this is a stance of the CSR and should therefore be removed:
Section a of question 14 is not voted out of the list by a majority;
About question 20 and 22, in the original document 'decolonization' was stated specifically. A vote is cast on mentioning decolonization in the questions 20 and 22 again;
4 in favour, 5 against, 1 blanco, 0 abstain: Decolonization will not be added to the questions 20 and 22.

Other Comments and One Positive Remark
Comment 1 is discussed, this comment is about the possibility of students to have contact with instructors and specifically add that office hours stay in effect, this idea may be more of a faculty matter;
5 in favour, 4 against, 1 blanco, 0 abstain: Comment 1 is voted out of the list by a majority.

Comment 2 is discussed, the feeling is that this is a faculty matter;
4 in favour, 6 against, 0 blanco, 0 abstain: Comment 2 is voted out of the list by a majority.

Comment 3 is discussed, it is asked if this question should instead be asked in the section concerning bilingualism, this can be done if the council wants that;
6 in favour, 0 against, 4 blanco, 0 abstain. This comment is added to the list of comments by a majority vote.

The positive remark on the IP is discussed, if a whole list of questions comments and critiques is send with only one positive remark separately mentioned it might send a strange message, it is suggested that the opening of the letter can be a positive approach and then specifically mention the positive remark and then the suggestions;
7 in favour, 1 against, 2 blanco, 0 abstain. The positive remark is added to the list send to the CvB

Voting proposal: The CSR 19-20 votes on sending this instellingsplan taskforce list of questions, comments and critiques to the CvB.
In favour: 8
Against: 0
Blanco: 2
Abstain: 0
The council is in favour of sending this instellingsplan taskforce list of questions, comments and critiques to the CvB. (Decision)

13. W.V.T.T.K. / Any other business
None

14. Input requests for the FSR’s / to the media
Representatives of the FSRs who didn’t discuss the meeting between the CSR and FSR need to discuss it and report to Alicja.

15. Evaluating the PV
Attendance and MP’s were great.
Some discussions went off topic.

16. Questions + closing the meeting
13:13 Pjotr closes the meeting.
**Decisions**

**D200226-01** The CSR 19-20 decides in favour of sending an advice to the CvB on the subject of IPv6 on the subject of using IPv6 within the UvA.

**D200226-02** The CSR 19-20 decides in favour of bringing the overarching critiques to the CvB during the OV on the 3rd of March.

**D200226-03** The CSR 19-20 decides in favour of sending this instellingsplan taskforce list of questions, comments and critiques to the CvB.

**Action list**

**200226-01** The representatives of the FSRs in contact with the FSRs on the subject of the CSR visiting FSRs and report to Alicia on the preferences of the FSRs: AMC; ACTA; and FdR.

**200226-02** The CSR workgroup IP will formalize the input from the CSR on the instellingsplan (rephrasing and making full sentences), and then sending it to the CvB.

**200219-01** Ömür will get in contact with the CDO to deliver input on the statement. *Should come in today.*

**200219-02** The CSR will send input concerning the website to Saachi.

**200205-02** Alicja sends out datumprikker for the implicit bias workshop 31th of March 15:00 to 18:00

**200130-02** Alicja will put a QR-code linking to the UB opening time survey in the ‘toiletpaper’ of March.

**191205-01** Pjotr inquires which rights the decentral councils have with regard to setting the (faculty) budget within Dutch universities. Three answers are in: Erasmus, Tilburg & Nijmegen.

**191205-02** The CSR discusses the model OER with the FSRs, Pjotr will send mail to other FSR’s.

**191128-07** O&O discusses whether someone will gather information from other universities about their exams regulations and master entry requirements, in order to make a comparison with the UvA’s policy.

**Pro memorie list PV CSR**

**140908-04** The DB keeps an eye on late meeting pieces and is strict about *nazendingen* and being present in time.

**140908-05** A double check on the spelling and grammar should be done for all communication. Committee chairs have the final responsibility in this.

**141208-04** The committee chairs notify the PR-committee after their meetings which files, that the CSR is working on, should be raised in the media.

**150420-01** All DB-members send their updates before Sunday 20.00h. Delegates send their updates before Wednesday 09.00h.

**160502-01** The DB takes good care of the plants.

**161017-04** The committee chairs make sure that everyone gives proper feedback in their committees about the work, steering and soundboard groups, and they make sure the documents are saved on the P-drive. Council members archive all their documents in the P-drive.

**161017-05** The committee chairs oversee the diverse division of speakers for the OV.

**170201-04** The DB oversees a proper balance between small and large files in the PV.

**171108-04** The delegates check whether the agendas, minutes and letters of the FSR’s are being published online.

**180207-01** Council members try to take care of expressing their opinions and give arguments for their standpoints.

**181203-01** The committee chairs keep their OV-planning up to date and fill their OV-planning in the calendar in Trello.

**190213-11** Pjotr and Anna prepare the files that will be discussed in the UCO in advance with the CSR PV.

**190904-01** The DB protects the diversity of the council and supports a just and coherent working environment.

**190904-02** The council concerns sustainability in their committees and its plans.