Minutes of the *Plenaire vergadering* of the CSR on the 4th of April 2018

Sofie ten Brink, Pim van Helvoirt, Michele Murgia, Kjeld Oostra, Sebastian Proos, Loraine Smith, Bram Jaarsma,
Guido Bakker, Teo Toderca

Council members
Council assistants
Quinta Dijk, David Nelck;
Sasaha Borovitskaja, Mees van Rees;
Moataz Rageb *Studentassessor-CvB*, Jason Biemond;
Michele Murgia

Concept agenda

1. Opening
2. Mail
3. Approving the concept minutes
4. Checking the action list
5. Announcements
6. Updates DB & taskforces, delegates, Studentassessor-CvB
7. Flexstuderen
8. Interim vice-chair person
9. UCO-recap
10. Werkgroep Sterke Medezeggenschap
11. Kwaliteitsafspraken
12. REC lecture hall
13. Housing creative session
14. Blended learning
15. W.v.t.t.k. / Any other business
16. Input request from the FSR’s
17. To the media
18. Questions
19. Sluiting

1. **Opening**

Pim opens the meeting at 15.05 PM.

2. **Mail**

*The council discusses the in- and outgoing mail.*
3. **Concept minutes**
Setting the minutes of PV180307, PV180314 and PV180321
The minutes will be set next week.

4. **Checking the action list**
The action list gets updated.

5. **Announcements**
Kjeld has to leave early.
   Sofie brought Jason. He is going to take over as delegate. Sofie notes that she may still be at the GVs and brought pie, because this is sort of her last PV. Jason will be granted speaking rights, if there are no objections.
   
   Sebastian notes that there have been some problems with his workload. He is going to decide in the coming two weeks whether he is going to do less or stop completely. He says that if someone wants to join the DB, then he would like to be notified.
   
   Guido says that he and David need to leave at 17.20 PM.
   
   Pim has several announcements. He thanks Ken for printing. He then notes that the FSR FEB is looking for a new delegate. Next, he says that the priorities were discussed in the DB meeting and that the DB saw that some priorities enjoy more attention than others. Pim says he wants to bring this issue to the PV next week in the frame of ‘let us discuss together how we are going to try to realize our goals’.
   
   Michele has looked at the updated OC-regulations. All proposed adjustments of the CSR have been adopted, except for one. The CSR will await the definite document.

6. **Updates DB & taskforces, delegates, Studentassessor-CvB**
The written updates are discussed briefly.

7. **Setting the agenda**
The agenda is set with changes.

8. **Flexstuderen**
Sofie introduces the meeting piece.
   
   Pim asks if there are general objections to altering the pilot. Kjeld notes that he does not feel strongly about it, but mentions that last time it was said that allowing someone to join the pilot at this stage could mess with the variables needed for the evaluation.
   
   Pim notes that currently there are no big objections uttered against the pilot. He asks what changes the CSR members want to make. He thinks the decision that needs to be made now is whether to add the OC anthropology to the pilot flex-studying. Pim asks for a temperature check.
   
   Bram says that the CSR should honour the principle of subsidiarity. Kjeld and Guido note that if the OC wants it, we should do it. Sofie says that both we and the CvB already knew the OC Anthropology wanted to join in 2018. It would be unfair to blame them for being late.

**Voting proposal:**
The CSR decides to be in favour of adding the bachelor and master anthropology to the pilot Flexstuderen.
In favour: 8
Against: 2
Blanco:
Abstain: 2
Outcome: adopted

Pim asks if people feel strongly about a certain option regarding the practical approach. The consensus is that **(Action)** Pim is going to mail Arne Brentjes to tell him that the CSR would like to add the OC anthropology to flex-studying and that the CSR have a right of consent on this.

### 9. Interim vice-chair person

Pim notes that the CSR needs a new vice-chair. Michele is the only candidate.

**Voting proposal (closed voting):**
The CSR decides to have Michele Murgia as interim vice-chair.

Outcome: Adopted

The CSR discusses who should be the next confidante. The CSR decides to do a closed voting on who should be the next confidante.

**Voting proposal (closed voting):**
The CSR decides on who should be the next confidante.

**(Decision)** Quinta Dijk becomes the new confidante.

### 10. UCO-recap

There are no clarifying questions.

**(Action)** Teo contacts Peter van Baalen on what the next steps will be regarding extra study spaces at REC.

Pim notes that we have an appointment for the *kwaliteitsafspraken*. **(Action)** Teo is going to mail VSNU whether they already have pieces for that appointment.

Pim notes that he is going to ISO together with David and Mees.

Mees asks in his meeting piece who is going to contact Gerard Nijsten. **(Action)** Michele contacts Gerard Nijsten and checks whether he should be part of the sounding board for the *veranderprogramma logistiek*.

**(Action)** Michele contacts Peter Hoekstra to get informed on the relation between privacy and educational dashboard courses.

Pim notes that the segment on the analysis study succes bachelor is mainly general information. He asks what else we should do with regards to the UCO. Michele notes that this should be discussed in the DB. Bram says that his educational director is inquiring into what is happening to the lecture-free week between block 4 and block 5. Pim talked to Lucy Wenting about this and Lucy said to put this in the planning ahead. **(Action)** Pim discusses with Mees on how the rescheduling of the holiday can be picked up at the UCO.

### 11. Werkgroep Sterke Medezeggenschap

Pim gives a short introduction about the meeting piece. He feels there is a window of opportunity to get something out of the WSM advice and leave the parts which are not beneficial to the CSR. He says
that this is the point of this piece. Pim wants to walk through the proposals, see in what direction we want to take them, bring them to the CvB and see from there how we continue.

Michele notes that he wants the social aspects of a *heisessie*, but not 'expectation management' in which agreements on working methods are made. There seems to be a consensus on this. Pim will say this to the CvB.

Pim notes that he will change proposal 2.2 to 'personal development'.

Sofie says it is good to ask the open question to what people want with green papers. Pim will pose this question to the CvB.

Michele says he is against the threshold proposed in proposal 3.3. Kjeld agrees. Kjeld also says he thinks it is a good idea that there are more personalized elections. Guido notes that he is against. He says that people and parties should be free for themselves to campaign. Pim says that momentarily the personalized option is not possible. Guido says that it should be possible. Conclusion: Pim will say that it should be an option to choose.

Guido says about proposal 3.4 that there should be more technical support. Pim says that we all want more technical support, but we need more concrete things. Kjeld notes that for faculty student councils people should have their own cards to print as well, instead of using vouchers. Pim says that there are many advantages to having employee accounts, such as seeing the employee newsflash and the A to Z list. Guido notes that OCs need more data from UvA-data. Kjeld replies that OCs were explicitly left out of the advice. Pim asks whether we want PR things as well. Kjeld says that mail-to-all would be a good idea. He says he had one meeting to use channels of the UvA site to communicate news and so forth, but the relevant people are not very responsive.

Concerning proposal 5.1 Kjeld argues that it would be good to leave how medezeggenschap is structured to be decided on at a faculty level. Guido says the CSR should not push this plan if faculties do not want to do this. Michele says that if FSRs wanted this, then they would have included this in their input to the CSR. Pim says this will then not be brought to the CvB. The next point in the proposal is having of a clear overview of rights and the second point is the option to have a short course in becoming apt with legal laws. Michele suggests that the CSR should suggest that if people want the first point, then FSRs and the CSR should discuss it with their relevant speaking partners (deans and the CvB). Pim will do this, but the CSR already has the CSR regulations, so for the CSR it is not necessary.

### 12. Procedure kwaliteitsafspraken

Teo introduces the piece. He says that the initial step is to think about whether we want to talk about kwaliteitsafspraken on a central level or on a faculty level.

Sofie asks how this connects to faculty councils have no right of consent on the budget. Pim says that there is no connection.

Guido asks what the taskforce thinks is the preferable choice. Bram replies that it has not been discussed yet in the taskforce. Bram says that doing a lot of faculty level may bring a lot of procedural problems, but at the same time he says that he does not think that it cannot be done at a central level because then there is no oversight due to the many local subjects. Guido says that, given the current workload of the CSR, it might be best to decentralize the procedure of the *kwaliteitsafspraken*. Pim notes that Erik Boels has to then deal with it. Kjeld thinks decentralisation is then the best option.

Bram says that it is very important to know that it may not be a good idea to make policy contingent on the mood of people that happen to be in the FSR for a given year.
Pim says NVAO can decide, on the basis of the term of the set *kwaliteitsafspraken* that will be evaluated, to remove financing and put it somewhere else. Sofie asks when the actual check (*visitatie*) will be. Pim says that the agreement will be presented in the 9th of April and then we know for certain. Michele suggests to get the input from the FSRs on this subject. Kjeld says that that is a good idea.

### 13. Profiling Fund – Evaluation procedure

Kjeld gives a short introduction to the meeting piece. He says that the goal of this piece is to see if there are any clarifying questions or if people want to add or remove something.

Sofie asks if the CSR should explicitly mention to the CvB that it is not about the profiling fund itself, but the execution of it. Kjeld notes that the CSR should indeed do this, and that he only wants to discuss part 4 of the profiling fund. Pim suggests to change the fourth point. Kjeld agrees and suggests the change to state ‘the general reachability of the StS regarding the profiling fund in general’.

### 14. Scheme ICTS – Blackboard maintenance

Pim introduces the subject. The subject is about the moments when ICTS does blackboard maintenance. **(Action)** Digitalization & Study support fills in the schedule sent by ICTS.

### 15. Mental health

Ken asks the delegates to put this topic, in relation to PR, as a priority at their FSRs. Ken has posters and asks the delegates to take some along and hand them out to the FSR members. **(Action)** Delegates put the topic of mental health, in relation to PR, as a priority at their FSRs.

### 16. W.v.t.t.k. / Any other business

Sofie asks what the objective is when people are attending the ‘meet the CSR’ sessions. Quinta says that the idea was to simply increase visibility. It is an opportunity to talk to medezeggenschap. Sofie says that it is then up to our interpretation to do something. Quinta acknowledges Sofies point. **(Action)** Kjeld asks Tamara whether she can book some halls at the relevant campuses to have the CSR sessions at.

### 17. Input request from the FSR’s

**(Action)** Taskforce Finance & Collaboration mail the FSRs for their input on the procedure of *kwaliteitsafspraken*.

### 18. To the media.

Kjeld says that there are enough things for the media this week.

### 19. Questions

Sebastian says that gadgets will be ordered for the campaign – wristbands such as ‘I already voted’. He says that the expenditure will be almost 1500 euros, and that even though the CSR is well within budget, he asks whether he can get the a ‘okay’ or should write a meeting piece. There is a consensus that it is okay to do so.

Kjeld says that he received a mail to join a group to discuss the new application for registering the votes at the student council elections. The consensus is that this should be picked up in the taskforce PR.
(Action) Michele and Kjeld write an unsolicited advice regarding the compensation for first-year students in OCs.

Pim would like a mandate to ask the CvB at the IO about a discussion that is currently going on concerning the role of the CSB. Bram objects to this mandate. Pim proposes to vote.

**Voting proposal:**
The CSR decides to mandate Pim to ask the CvB at the IO about a discussion that is currently going on concerning the role of the CSB.

In favour: 8  
Against: 0  
Blanco: 0  
Abstain: 2  
Outcome: adopted

Teo asks whether we want to write an unsolicited advice on moving REC lecture hall to J/K. Bram says that it is going to be clear that the CSR are not going to be formally involved in the procedure. There are a lot of issues with building the new REC lecture hall, so he wants to make the CvB aware that not all options have been explored properly. (Action) Bram writes a first draft of an advice on the REC lecture hall.

**20. Ending**
Pim ends the meeting at 17.41 PM.

**Decisions**

180404-01 The CSR decide to be in favour of adding the bachelor and master Anthropology to the pilot flex-studying.

180404-02 The CSR decides to have Michele Murgia as the interim vice-chair.

180404-03 The CSR decides to have Quinta Dijk as the new confidante.

180404-04 The CSR decides to mandate Pim to ask the CvB at the IO about a discussion that is currently going on concerning the role of the CSB.

**Action list PV CSR**

180328-01 All council members inform the DB or the amtelijk secretaris who they think would be suitable as the new vertrouwenspersoon of the council.

180328-02 Pim forwards the meeting pieces concerning flexstuderen to Sofie.

180328-03 Tamara asks Mariska Herweijer for the nota inrichting pilot flexstuderen from 2017.

180328-04 Pim contacts Erik Weijer about the involvement of OC’s in RRVE and the kader toetsbeleid.

180328-05 Taskforce Democratization & Policy and the DB ask the FSR’s for input about the ideal structure of the CSR and discuss writing a memo about this (change of) structure.

180328-06 Michele informs the council about the reaction of Juridische Zaken to the proposed changes in the concept electoral regulations for OC’s.

180328-07 Mees and Pim compose a letter to the CvB by March 31 and send it for an editorial round of 1 day to the council.

180328-08 All taskforce heads inform Loraine about the policy documents that are needed for their council work but are not (easily) accessible or to be found.
180321-02 Michele composes a list of comments and questions about the concept OC electoral regulations and forwards these to Miek Krol by March 23rd the latest.

180321-04 Quinta inquires whether the Turnitin plagiarism check causes problems for exams or assignments about formatting or programming.

180321-05 Mees asks Cormet what compensation or discount can be expected by the CSR exactly, as a follow up to the lunch organized for the students from Belarus.

180321-06 The delegates check whether the OC's have been asked to confirm whether the students are listed in the Kiesregister, prior to setting the electoral regulation for OC's.

180314-04 All council members compose an overview of the hours they spend from March 19th until April 15th on council work, studying, their job, and other obligations.

180314-08 Pim, Sebastian, Ken, Sasha, and Michele discuss the setup of a memo to the CvB about burnouts in the medezeggenschap.

180307-03 Sebastian, Bram, Guido, and Teo fill in the schedule to increase the CSR’s presence at UvA-locations.

180228-04 Bram further works out the proposal for creating an extra floor with study spaces in the new REC lecture hall, taking into account not only the marginal costs but the yearly (maintenance) costs as well.

180228-05 Guido writes a meeting piece to discuss Blended Learning and CILT after the fileholder meeting took place.

180214-04 Loraine writes a concrete proposal with an estimate of the costs for the organization of a creative session about housing.

180124-02 Michele looks up more information about the temporary contracts which fall under the UvA-Holding.

180117-07 Taskforce Digitalization & Study Support checks the shortage of examination rooms for digital exams. Guido forwards the relevant documents to Teo, who will be joining him.

171129-14 Mees keeps in mind for the upcoming UCO -meeting on Honours that UvA -Q reports are filled in for honours courses, while there are no OC's to check these evaluations.

Pro memorie list PV CSR

140908-04 The DB is strict about nazendingen and being present in time.

140908-05 A double check on the spelling and grammar should be done for all communication. Taskforce heads have the final responsibility in this.

141208-04 The taskforce heads notify the PR-taskforce after their meetings which files should be raised in the media.

150420-01 All DB-members send their updates before Sunday 20h. and write their updates linked to all specific files of the taskforces. Delegates send their updates before Wednesday 12h.

150907-02 Sasha sends a weekly Monday mail with all the activities of the upcoming week.

151019-03 Sasha notifies the FSR's after the PV on which topics the CSR needs input if mentioned explicitly during the PV.

160502-01 Pim and Sebastian take good care of the plants.

161017-04 The taskforce heads make sure that everyone gives proper feedback in their taskforces about the work, steering and soundboard groups, and they make sure the documents are saved on the P-drive.

161017-05 The taskforce heads oversee the diverse division of speakers for the OV.

161031-01 Bram and Guido organize fun activities for the council on regular basis.

170201-04 The DB oversees a proper balance between small and large files in the PV.

171101-01 All council members archive their documents in the P-drive.
The delegates check whether the agendas, minutes and letters of the FSR’s are being published online.

Council members try to take care of expressing their opinions and give arguments for their standpoints.