Minutes of the *Plenaire vergadering* of the CSR on the 4th of October 2017

Guido Bakker, Sasha Borovitskaja (until 16:44), Jern Ken Chew (until 18:18), Raj Deval (15:05), Pim van Helvoirt, Bram Jaarsma, Michele Murgia (15:05), Kjeld Oostra (until 18:10), Sebastian Proos, Mees van Rees, Loraine Smith (15:05), Teodor Todercan;

Absent
- 

Guest(s)
Kathelijn Verdeyen *Voorzitter FSR AMC* (16:00), Linda van Exter *Studentassessor-CvB* (until 16:20)

Minutes
Tamara van den Berg *Ambtelijk secretaris*

---

**Concept agenda**

1. Opening
2. Mail
3. Approving the minutes + checking the action list
4. Announcements
5. Updates DB, representatives, Studentassessor-CvB
6. Setting the agenda
7. [GV] preparation
8. [GV] Allocation model process
9. Teacher of the Year-award
10. [UCO] ITK
11. [UCO] Honours
12. [UCO] M-OER
13. [UCO] Risk management
14. [UCO] Open Educational Resources
15. [OV] Extra holidays
16. [OV] Evaluation Studentassessor-CvB [confidential]
17. VSNU: Pieter Duisenberg
18. Financiële cyclus
19. W.v.t.t.k. / Any other business
20. Questions
21. Sluiting

1. **Opening**

*Pim opens the meeting at 15h01 and welcomes everyone.*

2. **Mail**

*The in- and outgoing mail is discussed.*
3. Approving the minutes + checking the action list

The minutes of September 20th are approved without changes. The minutes of September 27th will be set in the next PV. The action list gets updated and the pro memorie list is checked.

4. Announcements

- Linda asks to be invited for the CoBo’s of the faculty student councils.
- Pim asks if any council member wants to join to the LOVUM meeting on October 27th.
- Pim asks the council for recommendations on time keeping during meetings.
- Pim says Intreeweek will organize a meeting with ASVA on commercialization and bike sales.
- Pim has discussed the BSA evaluation with the FSR’s during the VZO, as the CSR has asked for a separate BSA-evaluation. However, the current plan is that the Studiesucces scan will involve the BSA evaluation.

5. Updates DB, representatives, Studentassessor-CvB

The written updates discussed briefly.

- Pim asks about the link between the academisch uurtje and lunch with the rector. This will be asked at the IAO. (action)

6. Setting the agenda

The agenda is set with changes.

Studentassessor-CvB and GV preparation are exchanged. Added to the agenda: AUC.

7. [OV] Evaluation Studentassessor-CvB [confidential]

The CSR discusses the function of the Studentassessor-CvB and the hiring procedure for 2018.

**Decision:** The CSR is of the opinion that the BAC should be composed of 4 members of the CSR from different parties and 1 staff member in an advisory role.

**Decision:** The CSR is of the opinion that if it is not possible to have full over the hiring procedure of the Studentassessor-CvB, then the CSR should have the same amount of control as last year and the function of the assessor should be defined in the Universiteitsregelement.

8. [GV] Allocation model process

The CSR gets informed about the process for the revision of the allocation model.

Kjeld adds that the consultation of the academic community will be prior to the right of consent of the GV. Michele asks who is considered as such. Pim explains that this consultation is done through denkmee.uva.nl. Michele asks if everyone will be notified by e-mail, but this seems not to be the case. Kjeld proposes to ask the faculty councils to promote this, but Pim says this is the task of the UvA, and this will be brought up during the IAO. (action)

Mees asks why the FSR’s are not involved. Kjeld says a file holder meeting will be organized when more information is available, but Pim has already informed the FSR’s about the procedure. Mees says it is very important to listen to the FSR’s. Kjeld says that the faculty lobbying that is going on should be taken into account.

Pim expects that during the technical meeting only the possible ways of calculating will be presented, but no concept of the new allocation model.
9. Teacher of the Year-award

The CSR decides on its role in the organization of the UvA Teacher of the Year-award.

Sebastian explains that Bart Manders has brought forward the proposal of having UvA-employees organize the award. Sebastian says ASVA also has other and higher priorities and therefore willing to shift the organization to UvA employees.

Pim asks about the role of the FSR’s and Sebastian says they could be part of the sound board groups. However, BC wants to start soon with the organization, so this should be arranged within a short period. Loraine says the FSR’s should also be asked what they feel about the organization to not bypass them. Kjeld says the role of the FSR’s becomes larger within this proposal, so they should be willing to take this up. Pim says that during the previous years it was always the idea to involve the FSR’s properly. Sasha says that a committee can be formed from the faculties. Kjeld says the involvement can also be in sound board group or as volunteer.

All council members state their preferred way of organizing the awards, prior to voting. Teo: 1 or 3, Mees: 4, Guido: 1, Kjeld: 4, Sasha: 5, Raj: 5, Loraine: 4 or 2, Sebas: 4 or 1, Ken: 4, Bram: 3, Michele: 4, Pim: 4.

Voting proposal: The CSR decides to refuse any and all responsibilities regarding DvhJ as a sign of protest (option 3).

In favor: 2
Against: 6
Blanco: 1
Abstain: 3

The proposal is not adopted.

Voting proposal: The CSR decides to not be involved in the integral organization of DvhJ, but to use its media channels to publish and propagate the event (option 1).

In favor: 3
Against: 4
Blanco: 2
Abstain: 3

The proposal is not adopted.

Voting proposal: The CSR decides to form a commission with students from the different faculties, and if necessary to be part of a soundboard group in the meanwhile (option 5).

Option 5
In favor: 3
Against: 9
Blanco: 0
Abstain: 0

The proposal is not adopted.
**Voting proposal:** The CSR is of the opinion that in the organization of the Teacher of the Year award the student councils and ASVA should act as soundboard groups while the main organization is done by employees of the UvA (option 4).

In favor: 11
Against: 0
Blanco: 0
Abstain: 1

*The proposal is adopted.*

The outcome will be communicated to FSR’s and ASVA and BC by the taskforce PR. *(action)*

---

**10. [UCO] ITK**

*The CSR discusses the Instellingstoets Kwaliteitszorg.*

The council is in favor of active participation by the medezeggenschap in the evaluation conversations.

**Voting proposal:** The CSR voices the opinion in the UCO and to the rector that the medezeggenschaps-organen on a lower level should be actively involved in the evaluation conversation for the ITK.

In favor: 9
Against: 0
Blanco: 0
Abstain: 0

*The voting proposal is adopted.*

---

**7. [UCO] M-OER**

*The CSR discusses the binding articles of the model-OER.*

**M-OER-A** Pim adds that way of evaluating the educations is decided per faculty. Michele asks if the honours entrance requirements are at meant to be at the faculty level, and Pim says this not the case as the selection criteria are now set at the program level where the OC’s have consenting rights. Michele asks if the faculty of FNWI in this way gets consent to criteria of IIS. Pim says that this education is mostly under the OER of the studies Beta-gamma, and the criteria for honours can therefore differ between programs. Michele says it is unclear whether faculty councils have a right of approval on language policy. Pim says this is not unclear and it should be taken to arbitration. Pim and Michele will discuss this later on.

**Article 4.5.3:** Kjeld says that when programs have legitimate reasons to derive from the article they should be free to do so, as a guideline on the enumeration is fine but it should not be binding.

**Article 4.6:** Mees says that there are merely arguments based on principle against this article. Mees says that a possible argument against the article could be that in this way retakes are discouraged, and that should be decided on faculty level.

**Article 4.12:** Kjeld says that when the procedure is not defined, this article should be removed. Pim says the CSR in earlier stages has asked for this to be taken up in the explanation. Mees says the article merely defines that there should be some plagiarism regulation, but without defining top-down what this needs to be. Pim explains that the regulation also says that students consent to have their assignments to be checked by the software. Teo asks what other ways there could be to check for plagiarism. Sasha says that self-plagiarisms should be taken into account. Michele says that plagiarism
needs to be defined and handled by every faculty and program. Mees asks why the council would be against defining centrally that plagiarism should be dealt with. Michele asks whether the regulation on plagiarism is demanded by law, and Mees explains this is done in the WHW indeed. The question is whether this needs to be done in a model-OER or can be done in faculty OER’s.

OV preparation: There are no objections to follow the OV-action plan as proposed, Pim says that a check on the toezeggingen can be done by contacting the OC’s about the explanation of the OER. Sasha says it is important to also fix the e-mail addresses of all OC’s.

8. [UCO] Honours

The CSR discusses the financing of the Honours programs.

Mees asks when the financial aspects of the honours programs will be known. This will be at the next PV. Bram will help Michele with the financial aspects of the meeting document.

Round of opinions on the honours programs: Bram finds the programs nonsensical but does not see a reason to stop offering them when students enjoy them, but the privileges should be reduced and the classes should be made open to all students. Sebastian mostly agrees with Bram as the added value is negligible. Raj agrees. Kjeld agrees, but wants to make the programs depend on the demand of students as well. Guido says it is more important to improve overall educational programs, and excellent students could show their excellence in regular programs. Also, the financial aspect of UvA-income should be taken into account. Mees and Teo agree with this. Kathelijn says the honours program at the AMC is not set up well, but masterclasses in medical schools can add to the quality of the education if properly funded and organized. Pim says all education should be excellent, although extra subjects can be made available, and the honours structure does not look good. Also, Pim is against the centralization of honours programs. Michele says it is not just about the demand of honours students but also the question whether the other students suffer from this program.

Bram says that some people believe that excellence should be rewarded by exclusivity. Guido says this structure has been proven to be counter effective.

Teo asks how the honours commission is appointed. Pim explains the composition and the practice of the committee. Guido says the way of entering the honours program is problematic in some cases.

Mees explains that the honours commission has reacted to the evaluation and they recommend (1) IIS to report to the honours commission on quality on each subject, and (2) to have new subjects up for consent by the honours commission. The IIS has asked the CSR for their opinion in this.

Michele says the courses created by IIS should be recommended for evaluation and approval. Most council members agree that the IIS does not have to consent the new programs to the honours commission. The CSR mostly agrees that the IIS should create their own OC on honours and write a yearly report. Mees says this is problematic as the OC will be filled with honours students and teachers who have direct interest in this programs in a different way than other OC’s do. Pim says that this group might be lobbying for their own cases, even though they are allowed to do so. Michele asks if the OC would be accountable to the FNWI, and Pim says this might be complicated as there is no OER for IIS. The OC might be in the form of a soundboard group, so not under the official jurisdiction under the FSR and without the Wet Versterking Bestuurskracht applying to it. Michele says a soundboard group without power might not be able to make changes when signaling problems. Michele proposes to abstain giving advice on this matter, at least for now.
**Voting proposal:** The CSR voices in the UCO that it agrees with IIS on not reporting the rapport Kwaliteitszorg to the UvA-VU honours commission.

In favor: 10
Against: 0
Blanco: 0
Abstain: 2

*The voting proposal is adopted.*

**Voting proposal:** The CSR abstains in the discussion on IIS getting their own honours commission or not.

In favor: 9
Against: 1
Blanco: 0
Abstain: 2

*The voting proposal is adopted.*

9. **[UCO] Risk management**

The CSR discusses the risk management as a preparation for the education accreditation.

Mees asks who executes the NSE, and Kjeld explains this is done by a private company on national level.

**Several proposals from the risk management report to mention in the UCO:**

- (page 4) % of peer reviewing; Michele says it is not necessarily bad, as courses get evaluated independent of peer reviews.
- (page 10) only 50% of instances do program directors act something based on the results of UvA-Q that say attention is needed; Bram says it really depends on the way in which UvA-Q shows the outcome whether this is actually problematic, as it might be that the course is simply difficult because of the topic. Michele says results of UvA-Q first go by OC's as well, who decide whether something needs to happen or not.
- (page 11) deliberation with the staff only 30%; Pim says this is too low.
- (page 5) There are programs without end terms. Mees says this is problematic indeed.
- (page 11) the work load of teachers is too high; Michele says this very much so the case at the FGw, so the specific faculties that this applies to will be highlighted.
- (page 7) OC's not writing a year report; Pim, Michele and Mees find it important that these reports are written. Michele says that program directors need to give a formal reply to these reports so this is important for FSR's as well.
- (page 9) Programs not discussing the NSE; Most of the council members finds this no problem, and Pim explains how the grading system of evaluation is not precise.
- (page 11) Programs without a didactic approach to teaching; Michele says teachers have an academic freedom to create the approach, but Mees says this would make it difficult for students to keep the teachers responsible for providing proper education as in that case no parameters or goals are set.
- (page 14) Check by the exam committee on the grading linked to content; The CSR finds this problematic.
- (page 19) Minimum amount of OC-meetings per year; Michele says this minimum implies being a lot while it is not. Pim says the handreiking OC’s recommends about 8 times as a minimum.
- (page 19) Evaluating courses regularly; Mees says a high number is good, but the faculties differ quite a lot from each other.
End exams graded by a minimum of 2 people; Michele says a master thesis should always be graded by 2 people at minimum. Michele if this minimum applies only to teachers or if student assistants can be hired as cheap labor.

**Topics to be mentioned at the UCO:** OC’s, thesis grading, work load, OC year plan, exams committee.

Pim proposes as a CSR to send the points of the CSR to the FSR’s so that they can discuss these in their faculty with the *medewerker kwaliteitszorg.* **(action)**

**10. [UCO] Open Educational Resources**

*The CSR discusses his stance on the use of Open Educational Resources.*

Michele asks how teachers will have the time to implement this. Bram asks when the Open Educational Resources in relation to the *Onderwijsvisie* will be discussed. This is after the *Onderwijsvisie* will be send to the CSR around October 15th.

Bram asks why a program manager is installed at the central level, as he believes this should not be done. Teo asks more information regarding the finances, and Guido says this is not clear yet for the working group as well.

**Voting proposal:** The CSR is in favor of drafting a project plan for several years at central level and installing a program manager for the first two years of the project.

*In favor: 4*  
*Against: 5*  
*Blanco: 0*  
*Abstain: 3*  

**The voting proposal is not adopted**

**Voting proposal:** The CSR is against drafting a project plan for several years at central level and installing a program manager for the first two years of the project.

*In favor: 6*  
*Against: 3*  
*Blanco: 1*  
*Abstain: 2*  

**The voting proposal is adopted.**

**Voting proposal:** The CSR is in favor of making an *Instellingsvisie* and an *Instellingsplan* on the sharing and reusing of Open Educational Resources.

*In favor: 5*  
*Against: 5*  
*Blanco: 0*  
*Abstain: 2*  

**The voting proposal is not adopted.**

Michele is against the use of Open Educational Resources, and Pim is against re-usage of these sources as teacher will take less time to educate students because of which students would need to watch videos of the previous years. Mees sees the re-usage as a way of sharing best practices. Teo finds the financial impact most important. Guido says the workload only grows when knowledge clips about the same content will be made every year. Bram does not trust the UvA pioneering this by themselves and would like to take this up with other universities, also as a way to save money.
Voting proposal: The CSR is in favor of requesting the department of judicial affairs to, in cooperation with the UB, make a document concerning how to work with royalties.
In favor: 11
Against: 1
Blanco: 0
Abstain: 0
The voting proposal is adopted.

Voting proposal: The CSR is in favor of requesting the faculties to proactively see to it that royalties are handled with care by all people.
In favor: 9
Against: 1
Blanco: 2
Abstain: 0
The voting proposal is adopted.

Pim says the BKO is being used to making teachers work with Blended Learning in certain regards. They should be feel free to follow a course on this, but not be pressured into working with this or let the BKO be used as a way of advertising for Blended Learning. Guido says it is important to do offer the teachers a training in the use of Blended Learning so they are capable to work with it. Mees says this is important to not interfere with the quality of education.

Voting proposal: The CSR is in favor of making Open Educational Resources a part of the BKO program.
In favor: 6
Against: 5
Blanco: 1
Abstain: 0
The voting proposal is not adopted.

Voting proposal: The CSR is against making the Open Educational Recourses and Blended learning a part of the BKO.
In favor: 4
Against: 5
Blanco: 0
Abstain: 3
The voting proposal is not adopted.

Teo asks if the depository library with Open Educational Resources would be accessible to UvA students only, and that is the case with the addition of UvA-teachers. Ken asks how the definition between complete openness within the institution or within an education program is defined. Pim says this would be in a way of ‘open if possible, closed if needed to be’.
**Voting proposal:** The CSR is in favor of creating a depository digital library with the Open Educational Resources.

In favor: 4
Against: 5
Blanco: 2
Abstain: 1

*The voting proposal is not adopted.*

**Voting proposal:** The CSR is against having the University Library in charge of creating a depository digital library with the Open Educational Resources.

In favor: 4
Against: 3
Blanco: 3
Abstain: 2

*The voting proposal is not adopted.*

Bram says faculties should have their own say in how to work with Open Educational Resources. Mees says that the plan set up is not binding, but will merely help the faculties to think of a way to work with these resources if wanted.

**Voting proposal:** The CSR is in favor of creating a clear and concrete multi steps plan (stappenplan) for the use, storage, quality demands and copyright clearing of educational resources, in which the necessary organization will be described.

In favor: 7
Against: 2
Blanco: 3
Abstain: 0

*The voting proposal is adopted.*

**Voting proposal:** The CSR is not in favor of making the values and possibilities of the (re)use of educational resources known among the teachers.

In favor: 6
Against: 4
Blanco: 2
Abstain: 0

*The voting proposal is adopted.*

11. [OV] Extra holidays

*The CSR gets informed about the possibility to adapt the holidays calendar.*

**Voting proposal:** The CSR decides to continue working on the 'Extra holiday' file.

In favor: 9
Against: 1
Blanco: 0
Abstain: 1

*The voting proposal is adopted.*
12. VSNU: Pieter Duisenberg

The CSR discusses the meeting on November 1st with dhr. Duisenberg.

Mees has been in touch with the VSNU and ReThink UvA. The current discussion with the VSNU is about organizing a meeting with a live stream, and organizing as many small talks as asked for by people having questions for Duisenberg. Bram asks what the objection to an audience is, and Mees says this is as they worry there will not be a discussion on content and just ventilation of discontent.

Voting proposal: The CSR excepts the terms of the VSNU and has multiple closed sessions with about 15 people present.

In favor: 0
Against: 11
Blanco: 0
Abstain: 1
The voting proposal is not adopted.

Voting proposal: The CSR is of the opinion that no audience being present at the meeting with dhr. Duisenberg is breaking point in the negotiations with the VSNU for the meeting of November 1st.

In favor: 9
Against: 2
Blanco: 0
Abstain: 1
The voting proposal is adopted.

Mees asks whether the CSR wants to write an angry letter on the procedure, together with other student councils of different universities. Sebastian proposes to file a Motie van Wantrouwen. Michele proposes to follow up with ReThink UvA on the VSNU and problems in education.

The PR taskforce will come up with a follow up procedure if the negotiations with the VSNU on the meeting with Duisenberg end. (action)

13. [GV] preparation

The CSR goes over the agenda for the GV on October 6.

Pim explains that the suggestion is to agendize the language discussion in the GV, so that Teo Ken and now also Raj will not be excluded. Teo will prepare a statement for the GV, and Pim will help him to write this. (action)

Michele asks whether the CSR wants to discuss the procedure followed regarding CLC internally, but the council is not in favor of this.

14. Financiële cyclus

The CSR gets informed about the financial cycle of the UvA.

Pim says that the FEB, FdR and FNWI have not handed in the faculty budget yet, which might become a problem for taking the faculty advices into account when the GV needs to discuss the Begroting.

Pim says a plan on Middelen wet Studievoorschot should be made and should have been made by now.

Pim will inform about both these issues at the IAO. (action)
15. AUC

AUC will be added to the mailing list of raden@studentenraad.nl. (action)
Pim asks to share the non-confidential materials on the student assessor with the AUC. This will be discussed with the file holders and Linda van Exter. (action)

16. W.v.t.t.k. / Any other business

None.

17. Questions

- PR will come up with an alternative location for the CoBo.
- Kathelijn asks for a concrete proposal for the collaboration, Pim will write something and bring this up the next PV. (action)
- Pim will send an e-mail about trainings to the PV. (action)
- Pim says there is an ISO-meeting on October 13th. Mees and Bram might join. Pim will meet with ASVA to discuss the topics with ASVA.

18. Ending

Pim closes the meeting at 18h32.

Decisions

171004-01 The CSR is of the opinion that the BAC should be composed of 4 members of the CSR from different parties and 1 staff member in an advisory role.

171004-02 The CSR is of the opinion that if it is not possible to have full over the hiring procedure of the Studentassessor-CvB, then the CSR should have the same amount of control as last year and the function of the assessor should be defined in the Universiteitsregelement.

171004-03 The CSR is of the opinion that in the organization of the Teacher of the Year award the student councils and ASVA should act as soundboard groups while the main organization is done by employees of the UvA.

171004-04 The CSR voices the opinion in the UCO and to the rector that the medezeggenschapsorganen on a lower level should be actively involved in the evaluation conversation for the ITK.

171004-05 The CSR voices in the UCO that it agrees with IIS on not reporting the rapport Kwaliteitszorg to the UvA-VU honours commission.

171004-06 The CSR abstains in the discussion on IIS getting their own honours commission or not.

171004-07 The CSR is against drafting a project plan for several years at central level and installing a program manager for the first two years of the project.

171004-08 The CSR is in favor of requesting the department of judicial affairs to, in cooperation with the UB, make a document concerning how to work with royalties.

171004-09 The CSR is in favor of requesting the faculties to proactively see to it that royalties are handled with care by all people.

171004-10 The CSR is in favor of creating a clear and concrete multi steps plan (stappenplan) for the use, storage, quality demands and copyright clearing of educational resources, in which the necessary organization will be described.

171004-11 The CSR is not in favor of making the values and possibilities of the (re)use of educational resources known among the teachers.

171004-12 The CSR decides to continue working on the ‘Extra holiday’ file.
The CSR is of the opinion that no audience being present at the meeting with dhr. Duisenberg is breaking point in the negotiations with the VSNU for the meeting of November 1st.

**Action list**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>171004-01</td>
<td>Council members fill in the Doodle and the survey for the goals setting session.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>171004-02</td>
<td>The DB asks about the link between the <em>academisch uurje</em> and the lunch with the rector during the IAO.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>171004-03</td>
<td>During the IAO, the DB will ask about the UvA taking responsibility in informing the academic society about the period of advice regarding the allocation model process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>171004-04</td>
<td>The PR taskforce communicates the CSR's decision on the Teacher of the Year-award to ASVA and BC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>171004-05</td>
<td>Pim sends the points of the CSR regarding the risk management to all FSR's so they can discuss these issues with the employee <em>Kwaliteitszorg</em>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>171004-06</td>
<td>The PR taskforce will come up with a follow up procedure in case the negotiations with the VSNU on the meeting with Duisenberg end.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>171004-07</td>
<td>Teo prepares a statement regarding the language in the GV and Pim assists him with this.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>171004-08</td>
<td>During the IAO, the DB will ask about the faculty budgets and the plan for the Middelen Wet Studievoorschot.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>171004-09</td>
<td>Tamara adds the AUC to the council's mailing list.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>171004-10</td>
<td>Pim discusses with Linda van Exter and the file holders which information from the student assessor file will be shared with the AUC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>171004-11</td>
<td>Pim writes a concrete proposal for the collaboration between the PV CSR and the chair of the AMC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>171004-12</td>
<td>Pim will send an e-mail to the PV to see in what sort of trainings the council would be interested.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170927-01</td>
<td>Sebastian announces on which topics the file holders should deliver a text for the mail to all in both English and Dutch.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170927-02</td>
<td>Bram and Ken will write a meeting piece on the financing of the Schakeltrajecten.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170927-03</td>
<td>Mees informs the council which information is needed to update the CSR-website.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170927-04</td>
<td>Ken will ask for the budget of the <em>Zorgplan</em>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170927-05</td>
<td>Sasha, Loraine and Kjeld will write a letter on the hiring procedure of the <em>Studentassessor-CvB</em> which will be available for an editorial round on September 28 and will be sent out on September 29.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170927-06</td>
<td>Bram writes a draft statement on the housing situation at REC-A and the problems that might re-occur when moving to BG.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170927-07</td>
<td>The taskforce Facilities &amp; Housing discusses whether the CSR wants to ask for an evaluation of the moving to REC-A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170927-08</td>
<td>Pim and Sasha write a letter on the internal language policy CSR-CvB and the changes that the CSR would like to see in this.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170927-09</td>
<td>Bram, Mees, Guido, Teo and Ken will work out a concrete proposal for the factors based on which the re-allotment of the bestuursbeurs can be done. Bram sends out a Doodle to organize this.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170927-10</td>
<td>Michele will discuss the role of grades in the choice for student OC-members during the OC file holder meeting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170920-03</td>
<td>The DB will set up a list with possible technical chairs for the OV’s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170920-06</td>
<td>Pim writes a meeting piece about the CSR’s wish to get the CBO agenda.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170920-07</td>
<td>Pim asks Intraweek on their view on the collaboration with commercial businesses.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sasha Bram and Mees checks the legal status of the SwapFiets contract.

V&C writes a proposal on the factual organization of the meeting with Duisenberg on November 1 and includes Bram in the discussion on the choice for the host.

Pim writes an informative meeting piece on the UvA financial cycle.

The taskforces make an overview of all the working, steering and other groups the CSR is partaking in.

Mees and Sasha write a proposal on the language of the CV.

Guido discusses the document on Open Educational Resources in the taskforce and writes another meeting document on this for the upcoming PV.

All council members research which topics linked to the ITK they are dealing with in their files and/or faculties, and inform Sasha about this.

Ken, Sasha and Loraine will work out the sessions to discuss and set shared goals within the CSR.

The taskforce heads will set up a year planning.

Teo and Michele will meet with the VOLGB.

Pim discusses the BSA evaluation with the FSR’s during the VZO.

Pro memorie

The DB is strict about nazendingen and being present in time.

A double check on the spelling and grammar should be done for all communication.

The taskforce heads have the final responsibility in this.

The taskforce heads notify the PR-taskforce after their meetings which files should be raised in the media.

All DB-members send their updates before Sunday 20h.

Sasha sends a weekly Monday mail with all the activities of the upcoming week.

Sasha notifies the FSR’s after the PV on which topics the CSR needs input.

Pim and Sebastian take good care of the plants.

The taskforce heads make sure that everyone gives proper feedback in their taskforces about the work, steering and soundboard groups, and they make sure the documents are saved on the P-drive.

The taskforce heads oversee the diverse division of speakers for the OV.

Bram and Sasha organize fun activities for the council on regular basis.

The council oversees a proper balance between small and large files in the PV.

The AMC letter regarding the allocation model will be taken into account during the upcoming discussion on the new allocation model.

Michele will make a plan to involve the FSR’s in setting up the BSA-evaluation, and informs the FSR’s about the position of the deans in this.